RESOURCES RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	01-02
	NUMBER 2
PCAARRD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PAGE NUM	IBER 1 of 2
TITLE SURVEY EFFECTIVIT	TY DATE July 1, 2019

By affixing my signature below, I am giving my consent to the collection, use, and disclosure of my personal data in accordance to DOST-PCAARRD's Data Privacy Policy.

Date Accomplished:

## Control No. Evaluation Period:

For items 1 - 6, please rate our performance (by marking the appropriate box on the right) using the following scale: 1 = Poor; 2 = Unsatisfactory; 3 = Satisfactory; 4 = Very Satisfactory; 5 = Outstanding

			3	4	5
1. Our Priorities					
Relevance of our priorities to national, regional and local needs					
Participation of our partners and stakeholders in priority setting					
Reasons for overall rating of 1 or 2 (please mark only the 2 most important reasons)					
<ul> <li>☐ unclear understanding of national, regional and local needs</li> <li>☐ too many or too broad priorities</li> <li>☐ too little priorities</li> <li>☐ flaws in consultation process</li> <li>☐ other:</li> </ul>					
Reasons for rating of 4 or 5 (please mark only the 2 most important reasons) <ul> <li>clear understanding of national, regional and local needs</li> <li>right set of priorities</li> <li>proper consultation process</li> <li>other:</li> </ul>					
2. Quality of our Products and Services	1	2	3	4	5
For this item, please do not rate the products and services that do not apply to your					
case.					
Funding support services (provision of funds to S&T related activities)					
<ul> <li>Technology transfer services (including technology promotion, intellectual property rights protection/patents, S&amp;T-based Farms, farmer scientist demo farms, etc.)</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Human resources development services (training, seminars, workshops, scholarships)</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Facilities development services (equipment, facilities)</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Policy/planning support services (quality of our suggestions/ recommendations on your policies/programs)</li> </ul>					
recommendations on your policies/programs)					
Publications and IEC materials	$  \square$				
<ul> <li>Support to joint activities with your organization (training, seminars, workshops)</li> </ul>					
Reasons for overall rating of 1 or 2 (please mark only the 2 most important reasons)         same old product or service (minimal innovation or none at all, traditional         not so effective         not so relevant         not enough / inadequate         other:         enot interesting         other:         relevant         innovative, non-traditional         effective         relevant         adequate         interesting         other:         other:         other:         other:         other:         other:         other:         other:         other:					

	PHILIPPINE COUNCIL FOR AGRICULTURE, AQUATIC AND NATURAL RESOURCES RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	DOCUMENT CODE	QMSF-QMR-09- 01-02
<b>Q</b>		<b>REVISION NUMBER</b>	2
0 9001:2015	PCAARRD PERFORMANCE EVALUATION	PAGE NUMBER	2 of 2
TITLE	SURVEY	EFFECTIVITY DATE	July 1, 2019

By affixing my signature below, I am giving my consent to the collection, use, and disclosure of my personal data in accordance to DOST-PCAARRD's Data Privacy Policy.

3. Development and Management of Programs / Projects	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance of programs/projects				-	
Ability to deliver targets and benefits	$\square$		H H		
Reasons for overall rating of 1 or 2 (please mark only the 2 most important reasons)         projects / programs do not meet national, regional, or local needs         benefits are not sustained         project/program development process is bureaucratic and slow project /         program management is lenient / inadequate M&E         other:         projects / programs meet national, regional, or local needs         projects / programs meet national, regional, or local needs         projects / programs meet national, regional, or local needs					
<ul> <li>☐ project / program development process is effective</li> <li>☐ project/ program has adequate control processers and M&amp;E</li> <li>☐ other:</li></ul>					
4. Quality of our People	_1	_2	3	4	5
Professionalism					
Competence					
Reasons for overall rating of 1 or 2 (please mark only the 2 most important reasons)         Image:					
5. PCAARRD's Role in the Development Process					
Please rate our contribution to the Philippines' development process based on your assessment of the previous items. Also, please briefly share with us your views/insights on how PCAARRD can have a stronger role in the Philippines' development process.					

## Please briefly share with us your views / insights on how we can improve our overall performance:

## Respondents Profile

Name:	Agency Affiliation:
Customer Type (Please Tick) Researcher Business/Private Sector Policymaker Others (Pls specify)	Sex:         Age:

## Signature:

Kindly accomplish the questionnaire and send it back to PCAARRD either thru mail (CSF Survey, c/o PCMD, **DOST-PCAARRD**, Paseo de Valmayor, Timugan, Los Banos, Laguna 4030 or you can hand it sealed to a PCAARRD personnel who provided you this.